# TRANSLATION

(1

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)	)
Applicant's or agent's file reference  C1-A0401P	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
nternational application No.  PCT/JP2005/005824	International filing date (day/month/year 29.03.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.03.2004
	national classification and IPC  /7088, A61P35/00, A6	1P35/02
SUGIYAMA, Haruo		
	eliminary examination report, established by the applicant according to Article 36.	y this International Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	of 8 sheets, inc	cluding this cover sheet.
3. This report is also accompanied by	y ANNEXES. comprising:	
a. (sent to the applicant o	and to the International Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:
	•	been amended and are the basis for this report and/or see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative
sheets which sup		ty considers contain an amendment that goes beyond licated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental
	al Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and i	number of electronic carrier(s))
o (sent to the Internation	un bureau only) a total of (moleate type and t	number of electrosite carrier(s))
related thereto, in composection 802 of the Admi	-	containing a sequence listing and/or tables Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see
4. This report contains indications re	lating to the following items:	
Box No. I Basis of	the report	
Box No. II Priority		
Box No. III Non-esta	ablishment of opinion with regard to novelty.	inventive step and industrial applicability
Box No. IV Lack of	unity of invention	
2_3 30.110. 1	d statement under Article 35(2) with regard to and explanations supporting such statement	o novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Box No. VI Certain of	documents cited	
Box No. VII Certain of	defects in the international application	
Box No. VIII Certain o	observations on the international application	
ate of submission of the demand	Date of completion	n of this report
ame and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
acsimile No	Telephone No	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No	o. I Basis of the report	
L .	Vith regard to the language, this report is based on the international cated under this item.	ational application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise
	This report is based on translations from the original lan which is the language of a translation furnished for the p	
i	international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
	publication of the international application (Rule 1	2.4)
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 a	and/or 55.3)
re	~ -	his report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
	the description:	
ļ.	pages	as originally filed/furnished
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the claims:	
	nos.	as originally filed/furnished
	nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	nos.*	received by this Authority on
	nos.*	received by this Authority on
	the drawings:	
	sheets	as originally filed/furnished
T.		received by this Authority on
		received by this Authority on
$\triangleright$	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppl	
		cheman Don Relating to Bequeine Disting.
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description. pages	
	the claims, nos.	
		•
4.		endments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since s filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	the description, pages	
* If	item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "s	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. I	II Non-establishment of opini	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application			
$\bowtie$	claims Nos. 8-20			
becaus	the said international application, or t	ne said claims Nos.  which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
	the description, claims or drawings (in are so unclear that no meaningful opin	dicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. ion could be formed (specify):		
	the claims, or said claims Nos.  by the description that no meaningful	are so inadequately supported opinion could be formed.		
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been	established for said claims Nos. 8-20		
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequ Instructions in that:	ence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative		
	the written form	has not been furnished		
	the computer readable form	does not comply with the standard  has not been furnished  does not comply with the standard		
		d/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See Supplemental Box for further deta	ils.		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
restricted the claims.
paid additional fees.
paid additional fees under protest.
neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
complied with.
not complied with for the following reasons:
The invention set forth in claim 1 pertains to
cell proliferation inhibitors that include any one of
the belowmentioned components (a) to (c) as an active
component:
(a) a double-stranded RNA, which includes a strand of
RNA that is complimentary to the transcription product
of the WT1 gene and a strand RNA that is complimentary
to said strand of RNA;
(b) a DNA which encodes the double-stranded RNA
described in (a); or
(c) a vector having the DNA described in (b) inserted
therein.
[Refer to the Supplemental Box]
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts.
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-7

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-7	YES	
<b>i</b>		Claims	NO NO	
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES	
		Claims 1-7	NO NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA		VEC	
		Claims 1 / / Claims Claims	YES NO	
2.	Citations and explanations (R	ale 70.7)		
	<citations></citations>			
	Document 1: Y	oji MURATA et al., "The Wilms' tumor		
	S	suppressor gene WT1 induces G1 arrest and		
	ć	poptosis in myeloblastic leukemia M1		
	C	ells," FEBS Letters, 1997, Vol. 409, No. 1,	,	
	ŗ	ages 41 to 45		
	Document 2: K	. INOUE et al., "Wilms' tumor gene (WT1)		
		competes with differentiation-inducing		
	5	ignal in hematopoietic progenitor cells,"		
	E	lood, 1998, Vol. 91, No. 8, pages 2969 to		
	2	976		
	Document 3: Y	. OJI et al., "Expression of the Wilms'		
	t	umor gene WT1 in solid tumors and its		
	i	nvolvement in tumor cell growth," Japanese		
	-	ournal of Cancer Research, 1999, Vol. 90,		
	ŗ	ages 194 to 204		
	Document 4: J	amie A. DAVIES et al., "Development of an		
	9	iRNA-based method for repressing specific		
		enes in renal organ culture and its use to		
	_	how that the WT1 tumor suppressor is		
		equired for nephron differentiation," Human	<b>1</b>	
		olecular Genetics, 15 January 2004, Vol.	•	
	I.	OTECUTAL GENECICS, ID Danuary 2004, VOI.		

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/005824

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

13, No. 2, pages 235 to 246

<Explanations>

The inventions set forth in claims 1 to 7 do not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 4 cited in the international search report.

Documents 1 to 3 indicate that the WT1 gene performs an oncogene-like function, and also indicate that it is possible to suppress the proliferation of leukemia cells by suppressing the function of the WT1 gene.

Meanwhile, document 4 presents siRNA that targets the WTl gene, and goes on to indicate that said siRNA suppresses the function of the WTl gene.

Such being the case, it is considered to be easy for a person skilled in the art to confirm whether siRNA that targets the WTl gene actually exhibits a antiproliferative action, or to configure the inventions set forth in claims 1 to 7 of the present application by selecting an appropriate target site upon the WTl gene.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2005/005824

Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
Continuation of Box No. I, item 2:			
1. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this report was established on the basis of:			
a. type of material  a sequence listing			
table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. format of material			
in written format			
in computer readable form			
c. time of filing/furnishing			
contained in the international application as filed  filed together with the international application in computer readable form			
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search and/or examination			
received by this Authority as an amendment* on			
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
3. Additional comments:			
* If item 4 in Box No. I applies, the listing and/or table(s) related thereto, which form part of the basis of the report, may be marked			
"superseded."			

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/005824

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box IV.3

However, siRNAs that target the WT1 gene are well known (for example, refer to the document [Jamie A. DAVIES et al., "Development of an siRNA-based method for repressing specific genes in renal organ culture and its use to show that the WT1 tumor suppressor is required for nephron differentiation," Human Molecular Genetics, 15 January 2004, Vol. 13, No. 2, pages 235 to 246]), and thus there is no common feature that can be considered to be a special technical feature, in the meaning of the second sentence of PCT Rule 13.2, among the invention set forth in claims 1 to 7, the invention set forth in claims 8 to 12, the invention set forth in claims 13 to 14, the invention set forth in 15 to 16, the invention set forth in claims 17 to 18 and the invention set forth in 19 to 20. As a result, it is impossible to find a technical relationship, in the meaning of PCT Rule 13, among these different inventions.

Such being the case, the inventions in question do not share a technical relationship that involves one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features; consequently, said inventions cannot be considered to be linked so as to form a single general inventive concept.